# STATE OF NATURE

## **UK OVERVIEW**





## SUMMARY

The UK, like most other countries worldwide, has experienced a significant loss of biodiversity. The trends in nature presented here cover, at most, 50 years, but these follow on from major changes to the UK's nature over previous centuries. As a result, the UK is now one of the most nature-depleted countries on Earth.

The main causes of these declines are clear, as are many ways in which we can reduce impacts and help struggling species. The evidence from the last 50 years shows that on land and in freshwater, significant and ongoing changes in the way we manage our land for agriculture, and the effects of climate change, are having the biggest impacts on our wildlife. At sea, and around our coasts, the main pressures on nature are unsustainable fishing, climate change and marine development.

More broadly there has been growing recognition of the value of nature, including its role in tackling climate change, and the need for its conservation among the public and policymakers alike.

With each report our monitoring of change improves and we have never had a better understanding of the state of

nature. Yet, despite progress in ecosystem restoration, conserving species, and moving towards nature-friendly land and sea use, the UK's nature and wider environment continues, overall, to decline and degrade. The UK has set ambitious targets to address nature loss through the Global Biodiversity Framework, and although our knowledge of how to do this is excellent, the size of the response and investment remains far from what is needed given the scale and pace of the crisis.

We have never had a better understanding of the State of Nature and what is needed to fix it.

#### **#STATEOFNATURE**

#### **Terrestrial and** freshwater



#### The abundance of 753 terrestrial and freshwater species has on average fallen by 19% across the **UK since 1970.**

Within this average figure, 290 species have declined in abundance (38%) and 205 species have increased (27%).



#### **The UK distributions** of 4,979 invertebrate species have on average decreased by 13% since 1970.

Stronger declines were seen in some insect groups which provide key ecosystem functions such as pollination (average 18% decrease in species' distributions) and pest

control (34% decrease). By contrast, insect groups providing freshwater nutrient cycling initially declined before recovering to above the 1970 value (average 64% increase in species' distributions).



Since 1970, the distributions of 54% of flowering plant species and 59% of bryophytes (mosses and liverworts) have decreased across **Great Britain**.

By comparison, only 15% and 26% of flowering plants and bryophytes, respectively, have increased. In Northern Ireland, since 1970, 42% of flowering plant species and 62% of bryophytes have decreased in distribution, compared to 43% and 34%, respectively, that have increased.

Turtle dove, Ben Andrew (rspb-images.com); Forester moth, Mike Read (rspb-images.com); Heath Spotted-Orchid, Andy Hay (rspb-images.com); Ladybird Spider, Ian Hughes (rspb-images.com); Kittiwake, Ben Andrew (rspb-images.com); Grey Seal, Ben Hall (rspb-images.com); Atlantic Yellow Nosed Albatross, Steffen Oppel (rspb-images.com)



#### 10,008 species were assessed using Red List criteria.

2% (151 species) are extinct in Great Britain and a further 16% (almost 1,500 species) are now threatened with extinction here. In Northern Ireland, 281 (12%) of 2,508 species assessed are threatened with extinction from the island of Ireland.

#### Marine



#### The abundance of 13 species of seabird has fallen by an average of 24% since 1986.

The situation is worse in Scotland, where the abundance of 11 seabird species has fallen by an average of 49% since 1986. These results pre-date the potentially major impact of the ongoing outbreak of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza.



#### Varied picture for other marine life.

We know less about changes in species' abundance and distribution in UK seas. Well-monitored species of demersal fish (those living on or near the seafloor, 105 species) showed an average increase in abundance during the 1990s and early 2000s but have since declined. Whales and dolphins (three species) have shown little change in average abundance since the early 1990s. Grey Seal abundance has increased as they recover from historical hunting pressure. Harbour Seals

are in decline in parts of north-east Scotland and south-east England, but are stable or increasing in other regions.

### **UKOT and CDs**



### and Crown **Dependencies**.

94% of the species unique to the UK and its territories are found on the Overseas **Territories.** Across the **Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies**, 11% of 6,557 species assessed are threatened with global extinction.

#### What do our headlines mean?

This report focuses on three measures of biodiversity change: abundance (the number of individuals), distribution (the proportion of sites occupied) and extinction risk. These measures have been assessed for hundreds and in some cases thousands of species native to the UK, as the available data allow.

**UK Overseas Territories** 



#### **Our results show:**

- The number of species that have increased or decreased in abundance or distribution over time
- The average change in abundance or distribution across species over time
- The proportion of species at risk of being lost from the country.

Here we present UK findings in most cases. Where UK information is not available, we present results for **Great Britain and Northern Ireland** separately.

## **RESPONDING TO THE CRISIS**

**Key findings** 

The UK and many of the UK Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies are party to a new set of international biodiversity targets under the **Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD): the Global Biodiversity** Framework. To support the delivery of these, each UK country has committed to developing and implementing national biodiversity strategies. In many cases, countries have developed (or are committed to developing) legally binding targets to restore nature. In this report, we have grouped the CBD targets into the five broad areas discussed below.

#### **IMPROVING SPECIES STATUS:**

There is good evidence that conservation can be effective for individual species when it can be applied to a large proportion of the population, and targeted conservation action has set some species on the path to recovery. Halting and reversing biodiversity decline is vital, but it is only the first step towards a healthy environment with resilient species populations, thriving habitats and functioning ecosystems.

#### **66** targeted conservation action has set some species on the path to recovery ??

#### **INCREASING NATURE-FRIENDLY FARMING, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES:**

In the UK a fifth of farmland is in agri-environment schemes, but only a part of this could be considered as nature-friendly farming. 44% of woodland is certified as sustainably managed and half of marine fish stocks are sustainably harvested. All three measures have improved over the past 20 years, but there is a long way to go. Sustainable management is a positive step but does not necessarily mean the same as well-managed for nature. At a local level, many species benefit from nature-friendly farming, but the impact of different schemes on species populations has been variable. The best available information suggests that nature-friendly farming needs to be implemented at a much wider scale to halt and reverse the decline in farmland nature. The increased proportion of sustainably harvested fish stocks appears to be having a positive impact, with the proportion of large fish in landings, an indication of population health, increasing since 2002.

#### **66** nature-friendly farming needs to be implemented at a much wider scale to halt and reverse the decline in farmland nature **99**

#### EXPANDING AND MANAGING PROTECTED AREAS:

11% of UK land is in protected areas (areas subject to a legal nature conservation designation). However, within this only 44% of the measured attributes of terrestrial and freshwater Areas or Sites of Special Scientific Interest are in favourable condition. In protected areas on land, there is some evidence that target species or species of conservation concern have more positive trends than outside them. Although 38% of UK waters are designated as protected areas, we lack a comprehensive condition assessment and management is not yet fully implemented at most sites. Work is ongoing to designate marine protected areas and implement fisheries management within them. This will contribute towards the 2030 target of 30% of land and sea under effectively managed protected areas or other areas well-managed for nature.

#### **66** Only 11% of UK land is in protected areas, and not all of these are well-managed for nature ??

#### **INCREASING ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION:**

Restoration is taking place across a wide range of ecosystems, from peatlands to urban forests to seagrass beds, with more than 5,000 hectares (ha) of degraded peatland being restored each year. Despite this, only 14% of priority habitats, 7% of woodland and 25% of peatlands are assessed to be in good condition. Large areas of the UK seafloor do not meet Good Environmental Status because of habitat disturbance from fishing. Restoration and creation of carbon-rich habitats have clear co-benefits for climate change mitigation and adaptation, as well as biodiversity, but realising these will require a step-change in the rate and scale of restoration.

**66** Only 25% of peatlands are in good condition **99** 

#### **CO-ORDINATING OUR RESPONSE:**

Action to restore nature is best co-ordinated with action to mitigate and adapt to the impacts of climate change because land-use scenarios suggest that wildlife is likely to benefit from maximising nature-based solutions (for example, native woodland creation and peatland restoration) in order to achieve net-zero in the land sector. However, this will need to be achieved whilst meeting people's needs for food, energy and access to nature. Access to nature supports human health and well-being but there is inequality, with people in poorer socio-economic settings having less access to wildlife-rich natural spaces.

#### **66** Access to nature supports human health and well-being **99**

#### The power of volunteers

It is through the collective efforts of thousands of skilled people, most of whom are volunteers, that we can report on the state of nature. Without their enthusiasm and commitment, we could not understand the pressures on nature, or whether our efforts to address these pressures through conservation action have been effective.

Green-veined white Butterfly, Paul Turner (rspb-images.com)

## THE CASE FOR NATURE

Nature needs space to live and flourish, but around the globe we humans have decreased and diminished those spaces. This is especially the case in the UK. There are substantial negative consequences of living in a nature-depleted country. These include impacts on human health, and direct costs associated with adaptation to lost and damaged ecosystem services. For example, pollinating insects are worth millions of pounds to UK agriculture, and their population declines threaten food production<sup>1</sup>. Recent years have seen severe flooding in the UK arising from development in areas prone to flooding and climate change. There are enormous costs both of allowing continued degradation and repairing damage<sup>2</sup>, so it is far more cost-effective to avoid causing damage in the first place. Where it has already occurred, restoring nature can cost less in the long-term than bearing the costs of continued degradation<sup>3</sup>. The UK's peatlands are a prime example. They are an enormous carbon store, but three-quarters are damaged or degraded, releasing the equivalent of 5% of UK greenhouse emissions each year<sup>4</sup>. Restoring peatlands and other systems to protect their existing carbon stores will improve our resilience to current climate change, can help mitigate future change and will boost nature.

Protecting and restoring healthy, functioning natural systems is essential, not only for nature's sake, but for people as well<sup>3</sup>. The good news is that there are decades of successful conservation practice to draw upon, and for many habitats and species there is detailed evidence of what actions work<sup>72</sup>. Research suggests that urgent action can reverse some of the biodiversity loss and damage of recent decades<sup>5</sup>.

If we are to halt and reverse biodiversity decline we need not only to increase our efforts towards conservation and restoration, but also to tackle the drivers of biodiversity loss<sup>6</sup>, especially in relation to our food system<sup>5</sup>. That means making our food production more sustainable and nature-friendly and adjusting our consumption to reduce demand for products that drive loss of nature.

All of society needs to be involved in efforts to halt biodiversity loss. Encouragingly, as the recently launched People's Plan for Nature shows<sup>7</sup>, many people in the UK are deeply committed to protecting and restoring nature.

**CPOILINATING INSECTS** are worth millions of pounds to UK agriculture **99** 

### Contents

Summary	3-9
Key findings	10-25
Pressures and responses	26-29
Conservation response	30-33
Appendices	34-43





# **KEY FINDINGS**

We present an objective assessment of the state of nature in the UK. The metrics show how species status has changed over time and the variation in trends among species. We focus on measuring change over two periods: the medium term, up to 50 years; and short-term trends, the last 10 years. The changes in the past 50 years follow extensive preceding changes to our land and seascapes (see Historical Change in the full report). The metrics we present are not directly comparable to previous *State of Nature* reports, as we report across a wider range of species and some methods have been updated.

In the UK we have a wealth of data on which to assess the state of nature. This primarily comes from volunteerbased species monitoring and recording schemes. Our species' status metrics use two data types: Abundance data from structured monitoring schemes in the UK, including those that monitor birds, mammals, butterflies, moths and marine fish. Our abundance metrics report the average change in abundance across species. Distribution data from biological recording datasets can now be used to generate trends for thousands of species across a wide variety of taxonomic groups (including vascular plants, lichens, bryophytes and a number of invertebrate groups). These trends measure the change in the proportion of occupied sites, so our metrics report the average change in distribution for these species. Unless otherwise stated, figures were produced for this report.

For many species, distribution is the most appropriate way to measure status: for instance, it would be impractical to count the number of individual moss plants but looking at changes in where they can be found tells us a lot about both the mosses themselves and the pressures on their habitats. Change in distribution does not tell us whether a species' range is shifting. For example a species may be found in a similar proportion of sites but those sites are found farther north in the country than previously. Our metrics focus on species native to the UK as well as those introduced at least 500 years ago.

Many of the same monitoring and recording datasets used in this report also underpin official UK and UK country biodiversity indicators, which are published annually for groups including birds, butterflies and mammals, as well as other measures of biodiversity status. We feature some of these indicators in *State of Nature 2023*.

Change in abundance and change in distribution are different measures of the state of nature. Changes in these two measures are often related, although changes in abundance are likely to be detected sooner and be of greater magnitude than changes in distribution. Additionally, in some cases, abundance and distribution trends move in opposite directions.

The term 'wildlife' is used throughout this report to include all living organisms in their many forms, from mammals to lichens, plants to birds, fungi to invertebrates. For a fuller description of the methods used please see the Methods section in the full report.

Marine

#### **Terrestrial and freshwater species**

#### Change in species' abundance

Trends in species abundance largely derive from key volunteer-based monitoring schemes such as the Breeding Bird Survey, UK Butterfly Monitoring Scheme, Wetland Bird Survey, National Bat Monitoring Programme, Rothamsted Insect Survey and bespoke species surveys.

The UK abundance indicator for 753 terrestrial and freshwater species shows a decline in average abundance of 19% (Figure 1, Uncertainty Interval (UI): -30% to -9%) between 1970 and 2021. Over the short-term period (2010 to 2020), the decline was 3% (UI: -8% to +2%). We have no evidence that the rate of change in the last decade of the indicator is atypical of the changes seen in previous decades.

Within multispecies indicators like these, there is substantial variation among individual species trends.

To examine this, we have allocated species into abundance trend categories based on the magnitude of population change. Rates of change equivalent to at least a doubling or halving of the population size over 25 years were considered 'Strong' increases or decreases. Rates of change equivalent to at least an increase of a third or a decrease of a quarter over 25 years were considered 'Moderate' changes.

- Over the long term, 290 species (38%) had strong or moderate decreases and 205 (27%) had strong or moderate increases; 261 (35%) showed little change (Figure 1).
- Over the short term, 282 species (38%) had strong or moderate decreases while 273 species (37%) had strong or moderate increases.

100

·75 🛱

spe

· 25 🖁





#### Change in species' abundance by group

Composite multispecies indicators can hide other important underlying trends. Here we present trends in some major species groups, which all contribute to the headline abundance indicator.

- The long-term decrease in average abundance of moths (-31%; UI: -44% to -18%) has not slowed; short-term declines are 7% (UI: -13% to -2%) (Figure 2A).
- The specialist butterflies<sup>8</sup> indicator ended 18% below its starting value (Figure 2B, -18%; UI: -39% to +4%), with the majority of this change in the 1970s. Generalist butterflies have greater inter-annual variation but overall have remained stable (Figure 2B, 10%; UI: -14% to +33%).
- The abundance indicator for common breeding birds declined by 14% (Figure 2C, UI: -17% to -10%). The UK Wild Bird Indicator shows that within this group,



Figure 2: Change in average species' abundance across terrestrial and freshwater species in the UK by rarity, level of specialism or taxonomic group.

farmland birds have suffered particularly strong declines of on average 58%<sup>9</sup>.

Rare or colonising bird species (those with fewer than 1000 pairs) showed on average a strong increase in abundance over the long term to 2020 (Figure 2D, 145%; UI: 127% to 164%). This increase was driven by the rapid recovery of some species from very low numbers and the arrival of colonising species. Note that species in the rare and colonising group make up just 0.01% of the total number of individual birds in the UK<sup>10</sup>.



Marine

- Wintering waterbirds showed on average an increase of 36% (Figure 2D, UI: 26% to 47%) between 1975 and 2019. The indicator rose rapidly in the 20th century but has since steadily declined. Some species have shifted their wintering ranges in response to climate change, resulting in a smaller proportion of each population wintering in the UK, while others are declining due to poisoning from lead ammunition<sup>11</sup>.
- Mammals show a small long-term decline in average abundance, of 7% between 1995 and 2021 (Figure 2E, UI: -11% to -3%). Within this average change some species like Water Vole and Hazel Dormouse have declined dramatically, whereas several bat species are recovering from severe historical declines.

#### **Status of UK priority species**

One measure of the success of conservation action is whether populations of priority species have stabilised or recovered. Each UK country has a list of species that have been prioritised for reasons such as rapid population decline. Taking these lists together there are 2,890 species from all major taxonomic groups that are a conservation priority for one or more of the UK countries.

The UK Priority Species Indicator<sup>12</sup> (Figure 3), part of the official UK Biodiversity Indicators, shows the average change in species' abundance for 228 priority species between 1970 and 2021. These species are a sample of the 2,890 species in the combined priority species list for the UK, for which robust abundance trends are available, and include birds (103), butterflies (24), mammals (13) and moths (88). Seabirds are the only marine species included in this indicator. By 2021, the index had declined to 37% of its base-line value in 1970. Over this long-term period, 19% of species showed a strong or weak increase and 58% showed a strong or weak decline. In the short-term, between 2016 and 2021, the indicator did not change.

#### Change in species' distribution Distribution change in plants and lichens

- On average, vascular plant species' distributions have decreased by 16% (Figure 4A, UI: -18% to -14%) between 1970 and 2019. Within this average, 54% of vascular plant species decreased in distribution, 15% increased and 31% showed little change. Species adapted to low nutrient conditions and wild plants of arable land have shown strong declines (see Historical Change section in the full report).
- On average, bryophyte species' distributions have decreased by 19% (Figure 4B, UI: -22% to -16%) between 1970 and 2019. Within this average, 59% of bryophytes decreased in distribution, 26% increased and 15% showed little change. Some bryophytes have benefited from reduced sulphur dioxide air pollution, but this has not been sufficient to stabilise species' distributions on average<sup>34</sup>.



#### **Figure 3: UK Biodiversity Indicator C4a. Change in the abundance of priority species in the UK, 1970 to 2021**<sup>12</sup>. Source: jncc.gov.uk/ukbi-C4a. The line graph shows trends in the index of relative abundance for 228 priority species. The blue line with shading shows the smoothed trend with its 95% credible interval. The bar chart shows the percentage of species within the indicator that have increased, decreased (weakly or strongly) or shown little change in abundance (1970 – 2021: 228 species, 2016 – 2021: 215 species).



 Lichens initially declined slightly in distribution but on average have increased this century, with the indicator being 15% (Figure 4C, UI: 2% to 27%) higher in 2021 compared to 1980. Within this average, 43% of lichens decreased in distribution, 48% increased and 9% showed little change. In many parts of the UK, lichens were very badly impacted by historic industrial pollution<sup>13</sup>. Reductions in sulphur dioxide pollution are allowing some species to begin to recover. However, ongoing high levels of nitrogenous air pollution mean that recovery is skewed towards pollutiontolerant species.

Marine





**Figure 4: Change in average species' distribution** of A) vascular plants, B) bryophytes and C) lichens in Great Britain. The bar charts show the percentage of species within each indicator that have increased, decreased or shown little change in distribution. The vascular plant data and analysis are taken from the Plant Atlas 2020<sup>53</sup>.

## Distribution change in some animal groups

Across 4,979 invertebrate species, there was an average decrease in species' distributions of 13% between 1970 and 2020 (Figure 5A, UI: -17% to -10%). This average change hides substantial variation among individual species: 33% of invertebrate species showed strong or moderate decreases and 25% showed strong or moderate increases; 42% showed little change.

To help understand these patterns, insect species groups were categorised by the ecological functions they provide<sup>14</sup>. Some groups provide more than one function and so are included in more than one indicator.

- Pollinating insects (bees, hoverflies and moths), which play a critical role in food production, show an average decrease in distribution of 18% (Figure 5B, UI: -21% to -14%) since 1970.
- Predators of crop pests (ants, carabid, rove and ladybird beetles, hoverflies, dragonflies and wasps) showed an average decrease in distribution of 34% (UI: -39% to -29%).



The average distribution of species providing freshwater nutrient cycling (mayflies, caddisflies, dragonflies and stoneflies) saw an initial decline followed by a strong recovery ending 64% (UI: 42% to 87%) higher in 2021 compared to 1970. This pattern may in part be related to changes in river water quality<sup>15</sup>, but although many measures of water pollution have improved over the past few decades, significant water pollution issues remain, in particular in catchments linked to intensive agriculture<sup>349</sup>.

Between 1970 and 2016 the distribution of small mammals (mice, voles and shrews) decreased on average by -29% (Figure 5C, UI: -49% to -3%) and those of mid-sized mammals (eg mustelids and hares) showed a similar but not significant change of -15% (UI: -30% to +2%)<sup>16</sup>.

#### **Key findings**

**Pressures and responses** 

**Figure 5: Change in average** 

species' distribution for A)

terrestrial and freshwater

invertebrates in the UK.

The bar charts show the

within the indicator that

have increased, decreased

(moderately or strongly)

or shown little change in

function (pollination, pest

grouped by ecological

control and freshwater

nutrient cycling); C)

mammals.

distribution; B) Insect species

percentage of species

**Terrestrial and freshwater species** 

Marine



#### **Extinction risk**

Here we show species organised by International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List category of extinction risk at a national scale. At the time of writing, no assessments for marine species had been published other than for seabirds, although one is underway for marine mammals. Species assessed as Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable are classified as threatened by IUCN and therefore deemed at risk of extinction in Great Britain.

Since the 2019 State of Nature report, the number of taxa assessed using the IUCN Regional Red List process<sup>334</sup> in Great Britain has increased from 8,431 to 10,008. At present we cannot assess whether extinction risk is changing over time because the vast majority of our species have only a single Red List assessment.

A separate summary of Irish Red List assessments (for the whole island of Ireland) found that 12% of assessed species that were found in Northern Ireland were at risk of extinction, including 144 (9.8%) plants, eleven (20.4%) vertebrates and 126 (13.9%) invertebrates (see NI key metrics in the full report).



Figure 6: Summary of Red List assessment for Great Britain, showing the proportion of assessed taxa in each Red List category. \*Note that only 17% of insect species have been assessed, 10% of crustaceans and less than 1% of fungi.

Of the extant taxa for which sufficient data are available, 1,497 (16.1%) are classified as threatened and therefore at risk of extinction from Great Britain (Figure 6). In addition, 146 species are known and 52 considered likely to have become extinct from Great Britain since 1500, and a further five are only found in captivity. Summarising these results by the main higher taxonomic groups, 674 plants (21.5%), 202 fungi and lichens (11.4%), 145 vertebrates (39.2%) and 476 invertebrates (11.9%) are classified as being at risk of extinction from Great Britain (Figure 6).

Number of assessments	% Threatened			
10,008	16.1%	Extinct		
63	27.7%	Critically		
1,987	11%	Endangered		
1,074	18.6%	Vulnerable		
2,206	22.9%	Data		
187	10.2%	deficient Near		
92	4.8%	threatened		
39	5.3%	concern		
638	16.2%			
3,334	11.3%			
35	24.2%			
13	30.8%			
293	43.3%			
47	26.2%			

#### 100%

Marine

#### Marine

In 2010, the UK Marine Strategy Regulations were established to mandate measures that achieve or sustain Good Environmental Status (GES) in the marine environment via the development of a comprehensive UK Marine Strategy. This provides a framework for assessing, monitoring and implementing measures to achieve the UK's vision of 'clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse' ocean and seas.

The last assessment of GES in 2018<sup>106</sup> revealed a mixed picture in the environmental status of marine mammal, bird and fish populations, and in food webs<sup>17</sup>. GES was not achieved for seabirds, demersal fish communities and offshore seabed habitats. While achievement was uncertain for marine mammals, pelagic habitats and intertidal habitats. An updated GES assessment is due in 2024.

Given that GES has not yet been achieved, existing conservation measures have clearly had limited success. Further efforts will be required to ensure that the marine environment is in good condition, in line with the UK's aspirations and commitments.

#### **Marine fish**

The abundance of marine fish and the composition of wider food webs have been influenced by commercial fishing and climate change in addition to natural environmental changes, water quality changes, infrastructure and other human activities (eg, dredging, marine noise). Since 1993, warming sea temperatures have enabled a large proportion of smaller-bodied pelagic fish species (eg, Sardine and Sprat) to increase in abundance<sup>18</sup>. Fishing pressure led to declines in a number of larger-bodied species, such as North Sea Cod<sup>19</sup>.



Processing Hake at sea, RSPB (rspb-images.com)



Figure 7: Change in average species' abundance for demersal and bathypelagic fish species in the UK Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) areas of the Oslo Paris convention (OSPAR): Celtic Seas and Greater North Sea.

The abundance indicators (Figure 7) use data from a range of trawl surveys for around 100 demersal fish species that live on or near the seafloor (eg, Cod, Haddock, Saithe). The abundance of demersal fish species in both the Celtic Seas and Greater North Sea increased on average in the early years of the 21st century but by 2021 had declined back towards levels found in the early 1990s (Figure 7; Celtic Seas: 14%, UI: 6% to 22%; Greater North Sea: -8%, -14% to -1%). Little is known about the majority of noncommercial fish populations in UK waters, and trends in commercial stocks should be considered against a backdrop of overfishing dating back to at least the 1880s<sup>21</sup>.

Marine

#### **Breeding seabirds**

The last published seabird census covered 1998-2002 and reported over eight million seabirds breeding in Great Britain and Ireland annually<sup>22</sup>. The latest seabird census was completed at the end of the 2022 breeding season. The results of this full survey of nearly 12,000 known breeding colonies will be published later in 2023.

The UK breeding seabird indicator, based on annual monitoring at a subset of sites for 13 species between 1986 and 2019, shows an average decline in abundance of 24% (Figure 8<sup>23</sup>). In the short term the indicator has shown little change between 2013 and 2019. Between 1986 and 2018, two species have declined strongly (Arctic Skua and Kittiwake) while a further five species have shown a weak decline. The focus is on updating the seabird indicator given growing pressures on our seabirds, especially from the latest outbreak of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI). Further monitoring of the effects of HPAI will be essential to understand the effects on UK seabirds and other wildlife.

#### Marine mammals

Since 1994, the Small Cetaceans in European Atlantic Waters and the North Sea Survey (SCANS) has estimated cetacean abundance<sup>24</sup>. Data from the fourth survey in 2022 are not yet available; however, the 2016 survey collected data for nine of the 28 cetacean species which regularly occur in UK waters. Sufficient data for three of these species (Harbour Porpoise, White-beaked Dolphin and Minke Whale) are available to calculate abundance trends in the Greater North Sea. Populations appeared stable between the mid-1990s and 2016, but due to the few time points available for comparison, declines in Harbour Porpoise and White-beaked Dolphin could not be ruled out.

Regular seal surveys are possible when they haul out onto land to moult or pup (Harbour Seal) or breed (Grey Seal) and regular monitoring has been carried out for both species around UK coasts since at least the 1990s and 1980s respectively. Between 2016 and 2019 UK Grey Seal pup production increased by approximately 1.5% per year; however, these changes were not





experienced uniformly around Britain<sup>27</sup>. There have been notable declines in parts of Scotland. Harbour Seals are counted annually at colonies in England and east Scotland and every five years in colonies of north and west Scotland. It is estimated that the UK population has increased since the late 2000s, and is now close to levels seen prior to a population crash in 2002 caused by the phocine distemper virus. There are some concerns about local population declines however, with the 2019 count in the Southeast England area showing a 25% population decline compared to the mean of the previous five years. In addition to this, populations along the east and north coasts of Scotland and the Northern Isles are ~40% below the pre-2002 levels.

#### Plankton – the base of the food web

The marine food web is founded on tiny phytoplankton and zooplankton. Plankton communities respond quickly to environmental changes, making them valuable indicators of ecosystem condition, although it can often be difficult to identify specific underlying causes of observed changes.

An indicator of phytoplankton biomass generated using satellite remote sensing data (Figure 9) shows increases in some areas over the past 60 years<sup>25,26</sup>. Changes in diatoms and dinoflagellates, two groups of phytoplankton underpinning marine food webs, are associated with shifts in trophic pathways and carbon cycling. Small copepods, a type of zooplankton that are important prey for larval fish, have shown long-term abundance increases in some coastal areas but decreases offshore. The abundance of planktonic larvae (ie meroplankton), including sea urchins and crustaceans, has increased in most areas and is associated with rising sea temperatures.





60°N

Figure 9. The change in plankton lifeform abundance of 6 functional groups between 1960 - 2019. Sea areas are coloured according to the results of the Kendall trend test which indicates how consistent the increase or decrease in abundance has been. Fixed point stations are represented by filled circles.

Marine



#### Marine benthos – life on the seafloor

Life on the seafloor around the UK is highly diverse, with more than 10,000 species. It is very challenging to obtain data and information about these organisms due to where they live. However, for the first time in the *State of Nature* report, trends in distribution between 2005 and 2021 have been modelled for 438 taxa using citizen science records from the Seasearch programme<sup>28</sup> (Figure 10). This is a first estimate of how coastal benthic organisms are faring, and the opportunistic nature of citizen science means the aggregated trend is likely to be biased towards better recorded groups, such as sea snails and red algae, with records from only more accessible locations. Despite the overall increasing trend, some taxonomic groups showed reducing occurrence. For example the distributions of starfish and related species decreased on average.



Figure 10: Change in average species' distribution of benthic species from 2005 to 2021 combined from models of 438 taxa across 20 different taxonomic groups. All records were collected by the Seasearch programme.



#### **Pressures and responses**

The State of Nature report 2019 reviewed the major pressures on the UK's nature over the past 50 years. Here we have summarised these pressures and looked at recent trends to see whether their impacts are likely to have been continuing over the last decade (Figure 11). We focus on the direct drivers of biodiversity change, rather than the underpinning societal values and behaviours, including production and the consumption patterns that may drive them.

#### Other pressures and responses

#### Pollution

Most air pollutants have declined substantially since 1970, but ammonia declined more slowly and has increased again in the last few years<sup>31.32</sup>. Despite these declines, 73% of the area of sensitive habitats in England is still exposed to damaging levels of acidification, and nitrogenous air pollution levels were exceeded in 97% of the area of sensitive habitats<sup>33</sup> in England. Some species of lichens and moss have responded positively to reduced air pollution<sup>34</sup>, but many continue to decline in distribution, as do vascular plants adapted to habitats low in nutrients<sup>35</sup>.

Freshwater insect species have, on average, shown a strong recovery in distribution since 1990 following earlier declines (see <u>Key</u> <u>findings</u>). This is likely in part to be due to improvements river water quality from the 1990s onwards<sup>15,36</sup>. The proportion of lakes, rivers and estuaries in the UK in good or high ecological status has remained static at 36%

Th an In Th in

Key long-term drivers of change in nature		Recent changes in key drivers of change				
Biome	Key drivers of change (IPBES driver if different)	Long-term impact	Changes in the last decade	Implications for nature	Full report chapter	
FormationPolicy driven increases in agricultural productivity have met increased food demand, but many management practices have had major negative impacts on nature.Total farming productivity continues to increase40. Volume of fertiliser used continues to decline from a peak in the 1980s40.The percentage of farmland in agri-environment schemes has increased41.		Good evidence that well-designed agri-environment schemes can benefit nature, but that current scales of roll out are inadequate for recovery <sup>44</sup> .	Nature-friendly farming and sustainable fisheries and forestry.			
and freshwater	Climate change	Climate change has caused major changes to nature on land and at sea, including range shifts, population changes and disruption to food webs. Climate change	Temperatures on land are 0.5°C warmer than 1981–2010 and 1.1°C warmer than 1961–90. Summers are 15% wetter than 1981–2010 and 17% wetter than 1961–90 <sup>42</sup> .	Climate change is accelerating and the negative impacts on nature are likely to increase. While warmth-adapted species are likely to continue to expand their UK distributions, montane species on the edge of their ranges in the UK will be squeezed out. Nesting birds will become increasingly mismatched with peaks in invertebrate food sources essential for their chicks. On land, well-designed nature-based climate mitigation measures are likely to have positive impacts for nature <sup>45</sup> .	Ecosystem restoration, Nature, climate and people.	
Marine	Climate change	also interacts with and exacerbates the impacts of other drivers.	Sea temperatures are 0.1°C warmer than 1991–2020 and 0.7°C warmer than 1961–90. Mean sea level is 16.5 cm higher than in 1900 and is rising increasing quickly <sup>42</sup> .	At sea, future warming is likely to continue to shift primary and secondary plankton production northwards. This may negatively affect ocean carbon storage in the coming decades <sup>46</sup> as well as having a knock-on impact on the marine food web.	pooptei	
	Overexploitation (direct exploitation of organisms)	Past overfishing caused declines in commercial fish species and damage to benthic habitats.	51% of marine fish stocks are now harvested at or below maximum sustainable yield, or within an acceptable mortality range, up from 23% 2009–2019 <sup>43</sup> .	The proportion of large fish per catch in the North Sea increased from a low of 4% in 2002 to 12% in 2012 but has more recently declined to 6% <sup>47</sup> .	Nature-friendly farming and sustainable fisheries and forestry.	

**Figure 11: Summary of the key drivers of change in nature** in terrestrial and freshwater, and marine biomes over the last 50 years. Summary of terrestrial and freshwater drivers of change based on expert elucidation, taken from Burns et al 2016<sup>29</sup>. Changes reflect the relative impact of each driver in explaining population change in a sample of 400 species from a wide range of taxonomic groups. Summary of marine pressures is taken from the UK National Ecosystem Assessment<sup>30</sup>.

in the last decade<sup>37</sup> and there are indications that the recovery of freshwater invertebrates has slowed<sup>36</sup>.

51% of beached Fulmars in the North Sea have more than 0.1g of plastics in their stomachs. This reflects the abundance of floating litter and provides an indication of harm<sup>38</sup>.

#### **Invasive non-native species**

The number of invasive species has increased in freshwater, terrestrial and marine biomes in the last decade in line with ongoing trends since 1970<sup>39</sup>. **Key findings** 

Summary

Through the **Biosecurity for LIFE project**, 95% of the UK's internationally important seabird islands now have biosecurity measures in place. Non-native American Mink predate many species, including endangered Water Voles. There is a successful control programme that now covers a large part of Scotland, and a similar initiative has also begun in East Anglia.

#### Habitat management

The UK has a rich diversity of habitats and ecosystems. The condition of these and the way they are managed is also an important driver of our changing nature. See Ecosystem Restoration and Nature-friendly farming and sustainable fisheries and forestry in the main report.

#### **Emerging pressures**

#### **Transitioning to renewable energy**

- All UK countries have committed to reach 'net-zero' by 2045 (Scotland) or 2050 (England, Wales, and Northern Ireland)<sup>48</sup>.
- To meet these critical climate mitigation targets large-scale installation of renewable energy is needed<sup>48</sup> which comes with its own trade-offs as well as some potential co-benefits for nature<sup>261</sup>.
- The UK Government and devolved administrations have committed to effective spatial planning and prioritisation, which will be essential if we are to achieve these goals while also helping nature to recover.
- See Nature, climate and people in the full report for more details.



#### Wildlife disease

Several plant and animal diseases threaten our wildlife, including the ongoing impacts of Ash dieback, phocine distemper in seals and trichomoniasis infections in finches.

#### **Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza**

The ongoing outbreak of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) is the most serious the UK has ever recorded. A particularly virulent form has been affecting bird populations in the UK since 2021. Over the winter of 2021/22, avian flu primarily affected overwintering geese, as well as swans and ducks, some birds of prey and domestic poultry. The impact on the population of Barnacle Geese that come from Svalbard to winter on the Solway in Scotland was devastating, with around a third of the population dying. The breeding season of 2022 saw a much wider number of bird species affected, especially seabirds, and also a number of individuals of various mammal species believed to have eaten infected birds. In total over 70 bird and mammal species have been affected<sup>49</sup>. Eighteen of the 25 UK breeding seabird species tested positive for HPAI in 2022 and across RSPB reserves at least 15,000 birds were recorded dead<sup>50</sup> The full impact on seabird populations from the 2022 breeding season is the subject of ongoing monitoring and research. Impacts on seabirds are likely to be particularly severe, as they would normally have high adult survival rates and are slow to reproduce. For Great Skua and

**COver 70 bird and** mammal species have been affected by **Highly Pathogenic** Avian Influenza 🤊

Gannet, two of the species where observed mortality was greatest, the UK hosts 60% and 56% of the global populations respectively. Initial estimates suggest a decline in occupied Great Skua territories of more than a half in Foula, Shetland, which is the largest colony of this species in the world<sup>51</sup>. The ongoing impact of avian flu is difficult to predict, but this unexpected additional pressure on our wildlife emphasises the need for resilient ecosystems and abundant species populations.

#### **Funding for conservation**

In recent years, public sector funding for biodiversity conservation has declined, both in absolute terms and as a percentage of Gross Domestic Product<sup>52</sup>. This amounts to a real-term decrease of 24% over the last five years. Governmental expenditure on international biodiversity conservation, including in the UK's Overseas Territories, has increased steadily since 2000/01, although in absolute terms this is typically around 4% of the annual amount spent in the UK. Non-Governmental Organisation (NGO) expenditure on biodiversity has increased by 16% in real terms since 2010/11, although this decreased by 3% in real terms over the five years to 2021. While public support for nature conservation is strong (see for example, People's Plan for Nature), the Covid-19 pandemic led to a reduction in the amount of financial support received by environmental NGOs.



## **GLOBAL NATURE RECOVERY TARGETS**

In December 2022 the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) **COP15 summit agreed the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity** Framework<sup>62</sup> (known as the Global Biodiversity Framework). It confirmed a global mission to halt and reverse the loss of nature by 2030 and achieve recovery by 2050, so that nature will thrive, 'sustaining a healthy planet and delivering benefits essential for all people'. This is in line with the Nature Positive goal demanded by organisations worldwide in the years leading up to COP1563.

The new Global Biodiversity Framework includes four outcome-oriented goals to achieve by 2050, covering:

(Goal A) Recovery of ecosystems, species and genetic diversity;

(Goal B) Sustainable use and human benefits;

(Goal C) Equitable sharing of benefits; and

(Goal D) Implementation (Figure 14).

summit confirmed a global mission to halt and reverse the loss of nature by 2030, and achieve recovery by 2050 ??

These are underpinned by 23 action targets to be achieved by 2030, falling under three headings:

- 1) Reducing threats to biodiversity
- 2) Meeting people's needs through sustainable use and benefit sharing
- 3) Tools and solutions for implementation and mainstreaming

In the full report chapters we discuss conservation action in the UK countries, framed around one or a set of these targets in each case, but touching on many of them. We summarise what action is being taken, what we understand about the impact of these conservation actions on nature and people and, where possible, the future outlook.

# **C** In December 2022, the CBD COP15

		Global Goa	ls for 2050				
<b>Goal A:</b> Outcomes for ecosystems, species and gen diversity	Go Su an netic co pe	<b>oal B:</b> stainable use d nature's ntributions to ople	<b>Goal C:</b> Equitable shari of benefits from genetic resource	ing n ces	<b>Goal D:</b> Means of implementation, including finance	There is a consensus that it is vital for t new global targets to be more effective than their predecessors in driving activ to stop and reverse biodiversity loss. Earlier CBD targets have been criticise for being imprecise, hard to measure	
To take urg	gent action t rec	2030 M to halt and reverse b overy for the benefi <b>Global Targe</b>	ission iodiversity loss t t of people and p ets for 2030	o put n lanet	ature on a path to	strong implementation mechanisms <sup>64</sup> . The new framework is underpinned by commitments to mobilise resources for implementation, and to follow a cycle of planning, monitoring, reporting and review. To avoid repeating past failures	
Reducing threa biodiversity Target 1: Spatia Target 2: Ecosy restoration Target 3: Protect Target 4: Record ecosystems, spa genetic diversit Target 5: Overe Target 6: Invas native species Target 7: Pollut Target 8: Clima	Reducing threats to biodiversity Target 1: Spatial planning Target 2: Ecosystem restoration Target 3: Protected areas Target 4: Recovery of ecosystems, species and genetic diversity Target 5: Overexploitation Target 6: Invasive non- native species Target 7: Pollution Target 8: Climate change		<ul> <li>Global Targets for 2030</li> <li>Ang threats to serving</li> <li>1: Spatial planning</li> <li>2: Ecosystem</li> <li>tion</li> <li>3: Protected areas</li> <li>4: Recovery of ems, species and diversity</li> <li>5: Overexploitation</li> <li>6: Invasive non-species</li> <li>7: Pollution</li> <li>8: Climate change</li> </ul>		Tools and solutions Target 14: Mainstreaming Target 15: Business action Target 16: Sustainable consumption Target 17: Biosafety Target 18: Subsidy reform Target 19: Financial resource mobilisation Target 20: Capacity building Target 21: Knowledge and data sharing Target 22: Indigenous peoples and local communities Target 23: Gender	<text></text>	
<b>Full Report cl</b> Improved spec Nature-friendl Protected areas Ecosystem rest Nature, climate	<b>hapters</b> cies status ly farming ar is toration re and people	nd sustainable forest	ry and fisheries	Core Goal / T10 T3 T2 T1, T8	<b>targets</b> A, <u>T4</u> B, <u>T12</u>		

Figure 14: Summary of the goals and targets agreed within the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework and how these targets are discussed within the full report.

The Global Biodiversity Framework targets have been recognised by governments in the UK. The Welsh<sup>66</sup> and Scottish Governments<sup>67</sup> have promised to bring forward legislation to introduce binding nature recovery targets, and the UK Government has recently done so for England through the Environment Act 2021<sup>68</sup>. Northern Ireland is currently drafting a new biodiversity strategy. Statutory targets have been shown to increase accountability, drive action and embed cross-sector responses in areas of environmental policy such as climate change mitigation, waste and air quality<sup>69</sup>. The response for nature needs to be given the same priority.



Summary	Key findings	Pressures and responses	Conservation response
How to interpret this report	References	Acknowledgements and Partners	

#### How to interpret this report

We have included this section to help you understand the different measures presented in the *State of Nature 2023* report and how they should be interpreted. For full details of the methods and how these measures were calculated, as well as caveats around interpretation, please refer to pages 188 to 194 of the main report.



#### Which data have we used?

- We present trends in abundance (for 753 species) and distribution (for around 9000 species) for terrestrial and freshwater species' across the UK, and trends in abundance for over 100 marine species (demersal fish, marine mammals and seabirds) and distribution for 437 species (benthic invertebrates, fish and algae).
- Abundance trends are based on changes in the number of individuals at a monitored site, a measure that reflects a species' population size. Distribution trends are based on changes in the number of sites where a species is present. Distribution trends may be calculated at different spatial scales, here we use 1 km<sup>2</sup> for terrestrial and freshwater invertebrates and 10 km<sup>2</sup> for plants and lichens.
- These records came from a wide range of sources, including national monitoring schemes and biological records.
- Abundance trends are for native species only. Distribution trends for invertebrates and marine benthic organisms are primarily for native species but may include a small number of non-native species. Due to the small number of these species, their impact on the average trend lines is likely to be minimal<sup>296</sup>. Distribution trends for vascular plants include species' introduced to the UK more than 500 years ago.
- We present assessments of national Red List status for 10,008 native species.
- Details of our data sources and the species they cover are at <u>stateofnature.org.uk</u>

## How are distribution and abundance metrics related?

The status of species as measured by abundance is considered a key metric for conservation – providing information as to how species are faring and assessing the effectiveness of conservation measures or the impact of particular pressures. However, such data are taxonomically limited, and in contrast the volume of opportunistic species' records<sup>297</sup> extends the taxonomic, spatial and temporal coverage of species' datasets and analyses. Recent statistical developments have enabled greater use of these datasets for the estimation of species' distribution trends<sup>298-300</sup>. Distribution and abundance trends are often related, and there is evidence that they tend to operate in the same direction<sup>301,302</sup>. However, the relationship between the two measures of change can be complex. In particular, there is evidence that the magnitude of change in distribution trends is smaller than changes in abundance. This is because many species can show substantial variation in abundance without disappearing from sites or occupying new ones. Additionally, for some species or species' groups abundance and distribution trends move in opposite directions, but this is less common<sup>303,304</sup>.

Summary	Key findings	<b>Pressures and responses</b>	Conservation respons
How to interpret this report	References	Acknowledgements and Partners	

#### What are the graphs telling me?

The measures we present, at a UK and individual country level, show the following:

- Change over time Species indicator

   The average change in the status
   of species, based on abundance or
   distribution data.
- Categories of change The percentage of species in each trend category eg strong increase or little change.
- Extinction risk An assessment of Red List status for each species occurring in that country.

Please note that our measures are not directly comparable with those presented in the previous *State of Nature* reports because the current report is based on an increased number of species, updated methods and, in some cases, different data sources.

#### **Change over time – Species indicator**

These graphs show indicators based on the abundance data and distribution data separately. Species indicator graphs show the average change in the status of species based on either abundance or distribution data. The shaded areas show a measure of uncertainty around the indicator. This is measured in several different ways, which are described in the Methods section in the full report.

Results reported for each figure include total percentage change in the indicator over the long term and the short term.

#### **Categories of change**

Each species was placed into one of three or five trend categories based on annual percentage changes. Results reported for each figure include the percentage of species that showed strong or moderate changes, and those showing little change, in each time period. Thresholds for assigning species' trends to the categories are given on page 192. A small number of species did not have a short-term assessment, as data were unavailable for recent years.

#### **Extinction risk**

We summarised the Great Britain Red Lists to present the proportion of species in each threat category overall, and by different taxonomic groups. In each country we interpret existing Great Britain Red Lists, based on those species known to have occurred in a particular country, with the exception of Northern Ireland, where we used all-Ireland Red List assessments. For the Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies we summarised available global IUCN Red List assessments.

Results reported for each figure include: the overall percentage of species assessed that are regarded as threatened with extinction from Great Britain, Ireland or globally. This is the percentage of extant species, for which sufficient data are available, classified as Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable in the latest IUCN Red List assessments.

#### **Official statistics**

Where appropriate, trend figures from the official UK or UK country biodiversity indicators<sup>305</sup> are presented. In these cases the source url is given in the figure caption.

## What time period does this report cover?

In general we show abundance trends in species from 1970 to 2021 and distribution trends from 1970 to 2020. We refer to this as our long-term period. Our short-term period covers the final 10 years of an indicator, often 2010 to 2020. Data availability means that some abundance and distribution indicators start after 1970. For instance, distribution trends for benthic marine species run from 2005 to 2021.

#### **Appendices**



How to interpret this report

References

Acknowledgements and Partners

1. Breeze, T.D., et al., Pollinator 11. Green, R. E., & Pain, D. monitoring more than pays for itself. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2021. 58(1): p. 44-57.

2. Environment Agency, Estimating the economic costs of the 2015 to 2016 winter floods. 2018, Environment Agency: Bristol.

3. Dasgupta, P., The economics of biodiversity: the Dasgupta review. 2021: HM Treasury.

4. Evans, C., et al., Implementation of an emission inventory for UK peatlands. Report to the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bangor. 2017.

5. Leclère, D., et al., Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity needs an integrated strategy. Nature, 2020. 585(7826): p. 551-556.

6. NatureScot, Indirect drivers of biodiversity change. 2023, NatureScot.

7. The People's Plan for Nature. The People's Plan for Nature: Report on outcomes of a RAPID democracy process. 2023 [cited 2023 10th May]; Available from: https:// peoplesplanfornature.org/

8. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: C6 Insects of the wider countryside, JNCC, 2022.

9. Defra, Wild bird populations in the UK, 1970 to 2021. 2023 [cited 2023 10th May]; Available from: https://www.gov.uk/ government/statistics/wildbird-populations-in-the-uk/ wild-bird-populations-in-theuk-1970-to-2021

10. Woodward, I., et al., Population estimates of birds in Great Britain and the United Kingdom. British Birds 2020. 113: p. 69-104.

J. (2016). Possible effects of ingested lead gunshot on populations of ducks wintering in the UK. Ibis, 158(4), 699-710.

12. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: C4a. Status of UK priority species – relative abundance, JNCC, 2021.

13. Ellis, C.J., R. Yahr, and B.J. Coppins, Quantifying the anthropocene loss of bioindicators for an early industrial region: an equitable baseline for biodiversity restoration. Biodiversity and Conservation, 2018. 27: p. 2363-2377.

14. Oliver, T.H., et al., *Declining* resilience of ecosystem functions under biodiversity loss. Nature communications, 2015. 6(1): p. 1-8.

15. Outhwaite, C.L., et al., Complex long-term biodiversity change among invertebrates, bryophytes and lichens. Nature ecology & evolution, 2020. 4(3): p. 384-392.

16. Coomber, F.G., et al., Using biological records to infer long-term occupancy trends of mammals in the UK. Biological Conservation, 2021. 264: p. 109362.

17. UKMMAS. 2018 UK marine monitoring and assessment strategy: Biodiversity, food webs and marine protected areas. Available at: https://moat.cefas. co.uk/biodiversity-food-websand-marine-protected-areas/

18. Simpson, S.D., et al., Continental shelf-wide response of a fish assemblage to rapid warming of the sea. Current Biology, 2011. 21(18): p. 1565-1570.

19. Cook, R., A. Sinclair, and G. Stefansson, Potential collapse of North Sea cod stocks. Nature, 1997. 385(6616): p. 521-522.

21. Thurstan, R.H. et al. (2010). The effects of 118 years of industrial fishing on UK bottom trawl fisheries. Nature Communications, 1, 15.

22. Mitchell, P. I. et al. 2004 Seabird populations of Britain and Ireland. T. & AD Poyser, London.

23. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: C5. Birds of the wider countryside and at sea, JNCC, 2021.

24. Hammond PS, et al. (2017). Estimates of cetacean abundance in European Atlantic waters in summer 2016 from the SCANS-III aerial and shipboard surveys. Wageningen Marine Research.

25. Holland M, Louchart A, Artigas LF, Mcguatters-Gollop A. PH1/FW5 - Changes in phytoplankton and zooplankton communities. In: OSPAR. The 2023 Quality Status Report for the Northeast Atlantic, OSPAR Commission, London, 2023.

26. Holland, M. M., et al. (2023). Major declines in NE Atlantic plankton contrast with more stable populations in the rapidly warming North Sea. Science of the total environment, 165505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2023.165505.

#### 27. NERC, Scientific

Advice on Matters Related to the Management of Seal Populations: 2021. 2021, Special Committee on Seals.

28. Jackson, A., Bayesian occupancy modelling of benthic Crustacea and the recovery of the European spiny lobster, Palinurus elephas. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 2021. 101(7):p. 1033-1046.

29. Burns, F., et al., Agricultural management and climatic change are the major drivers of biodiversity change in the UK. PLoS One, 2016. 11(3): p. e0151595.

30. Watson, R., et al., UK National Ecosystem Assessment: Technical Report. 2011: **United Nations Environment Programme World Conservation** Monitoring Centre.

31. Defra, 2023. Trends in UK sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, non-methane volatile organic compounds, ammonia and particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5) emissions. 1970-2021, Defra.

32. Rowe E.C., et al., Trends Report 2022: Trends in critical load and critical level exceedances in the UK. 2022, Report to Defra under Contract AQ0849, UKCEH project 07617.

**33**. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: B5a Pressure from pollution: Air pollution, JNCC, 2022.

34. Pescott, O.L., et al., Air pollution and its effects on lichens, bryophytes, and lichenfeeding Lepidoptera: review and evidence from biological records. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2015. 115(3): p. 611-635.

35. Walker, K., et al., Britain's changing flora: a summary of the results of Plant Atlas 2020. 2023.

36. Pharaoh, E., Diamond, M., Ormerod, S. J., Rutt, G. & Vaughan, I. P. 2023 Evidence of biological recovery from gross pollution in English and Welsh rivers over three decades. Science of the Total Environment 878, 163107.

37. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: B7. Surface water status, JNCC, 2021.

38. Kühn, S., J.A. Van Franeker, and W. Van Loon, Plastic Particles in Fulmar Stomachs in the North Sea. In: OSPAR, 2023: The 2023 Quality Status Report for the Northeast Atlantic. 2022, OSPAR Commission: London.

**39**. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: B6. Pressures from Invasive species, JNCC, 2021.

**40**. Defra, Aggregate Agricultural Accounts: Total Factor

41. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: B1a. Agrienvironment schemes, JNCC, 2021.

42. Kendon, M., et al., State of the UK Climate 2020. International Journal of Climatology, 2021. 41(S2): p. 1-76.

43. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicator: B2a. Sustainable fisheries. Percentage of marine fish (quota) stocks of UK interest harvested sustainable, 1970 to 2019, JNCC, 2020.

44. Sharps, E., et al., 2023 Reversing declines in farmland birds: How much agrienvironment provision is needed at farm and landscape scales? Journal of Applied Ecology 60, 568-580.

45. Finch, T., et al. Co-benefits and trade-offs associated with a net zero UK land sector. One Earth, 2023, in Press.

46. Edwards, M., et al., Plankton, jellyfish and climate in the North-East Atlantic. MCCIP Science Review 2020, 322-353. 2020, Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership. 47. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: D1a. Fish size classes in the North Sea (1983 to 2019).

JNCC, 2020. **48**. Committee on Climate Change, The Sixth Carbon

Budget: The UK's path to net zero. 2020, Committee on Climate Change: London.

49. Pearce-Higgins, et al. 2023 Highly pathogenic avian influenza in wild birds in the United Kingdom in 2022: impacts, planning for future outbreaks, and conservation and research priorities. Report on virtual workshops held in November 2022. BTO Research Report 752.

Productivity dataset, Defra, 2022.

50. RSPB. 2023 Avian Flu (bird flu). Available at: https://www. rspb.org.uk/birds-and-wildlife/ advice/how-you-can-helpbirds/disease-and-gardenwildlife/avian-influenzaupdates/ Last accessed 1st June 2023.

51. Camphuysen, C., S. Gear, and R. Furness, Avian influenza leads to mass mortality of adult Great Skuas in Foula in summer 2022. Scottish Birds, 2022. 4: p. 312-23.

52. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators: E2. Expenditure on UK and international biodiversity, JNCC, 2021.

53. Stroh, P., et al., Plant Atlas 2020. 2023 Princeton University Press.

62. UNEP, DECISION ADOPTED BY THE CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY. 15/4. Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, UNEP, 2022.

63. Brotherton P., et al., Nature Positive 2030 – Evidence Report. 2021, JNCC: Peterborough.

64. Green, E.J., et al., Relating characteristics of global biodiversity targets to reported progress. Conservation Biology, 2019. 33(6): p. 1360-1369.

65. JNCC, Sixth National Report to the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity: United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. 2019, JNCC: Peterborough.

66. Welsh Government, The Co-operation Agreement, Welsh Government, 2021.

67. Scottish Government, 2021. Scottish Government and Scottish Green Party Shared Policy Programme, working together to build a greener, fairer, independent Scotland, Scottish Government.

How to interpret this report

References

Acknowledgements and Partners

**68**. UK Government, 2021. *Environment Act 2021, UK Government*.

**69.** Housden, S., Putting Wales on a Path to Nature Recovery. The case for nature recovery targets in Wales. A report for RSPB Cymru and WWF Cymru supported by Wales Environment Link. 2021.

72. Sutherland, W.J., et al., *What Works in Conservation*. 2020, Cambridge, UK: Open Book Publishers.

**106**. Defra, Marine Strategy Part One: UK updated assessment and Good Environmental Status. 2019, Defra, DAERA, the Welsh Government and the Scottish Government.

**261**. Galparsoro, I., et al., *Reviewing the ecological impacts of offshore wind farms.* npj Ocean Sustainability, 2022. **1**(1): p. 1.

**296**. Outhwaite, C.L., et al., Complex long-term biodiversity change among invertebrates, bryophytes and lichens. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2020. **4**(3): p. 384-392.

**297**. BRC, National Recording Schemes and Societies. 2023, Biological Records Centre: Wallingford, UK.

**298**. Dennis, E.B., et al., *Efficient* occupancy model-fitting for extensive citizen-science data. PloS one, 2017. **12**(3): p. e0174433.

**299**. Isaac, N.J., et al., *Statistics* for citizen science: extracting signals of change from noisy ecological data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 2014. **5**(10): p. 1052-1060.

**300**. Outhwaite, C.L., et al., *Prior specification in Bayesian occupancy modelling improves analysis of species occurrence data.* Ecological Indicators, 2018. **93**: p. 333-343.

**301**. Van Turnhout, C.A., et al., *Scale-dependent homogenization: changes in breeding bird diversity in the Netherlands over a 25-year period.* Biological Conservation, 2007. **134**(4): p. 505-516.

**302**. Zuckerberg, B., W.F. Porter, and K. Corwin, *The consistency* and stability of abundance– occupancy relationships in large-scale population dynamics. Journal of Animal Ecology, 2009. **78**(1): p. 172-181.

**303**. Chamberlain, D.E. and R. Fuller, *Contrasting patterns of change in the distribution and abundance of farmland birds in relation to farming system in lowland Britain.* Global Ecology and Biogeography, 2001. **10**(4): p. 399-409.

**304**. Dennis, E.B., et al., *Trends* and indicators for quantifying moth abundance and occupancy in Scotland. Journal of Insect Conservation, 2019. **23**: p. 369-380.

**305**. JNCC, UK Biodiversity Indicators. 2023, JNCC.

**334**. IUCN, *IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1. Second edition.* 2012, IUCN: Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. p. iv + 32pp.

**349**. Whelan, M. J., et al. 2022 Is water quality in British rivers "better than at any time since the end of the Industrial Revolution"? Science of the Total Environment 843, 157014.





Summary	Key findings	Pressures and responses	Conservation response
How to interpret this report	References	Acknowledgements and Partners	

#### **Report citation**

Burns, F, Mordue, S, al Fulaij, N, Boersch-Supan, PH, Boswell, J, Boyd, RJ, Bradfer-Lawrence, T, de Ornellas, P, de Palma, A, de Zylva, P, Dennis, EB, Foster, S, Gilbert, G, Halliwell, L, Hawkins, K, Haysom, KA, Holland, MM, Hughes, J, Jackson, AC, Mancini, F, Mathews, F, McQuatters-Gollop, A, Noble, DG, O'Brien, D, Pescott, OL, Purvis, A, Simkin, J, Smith, A, Stanbury, AJ, Villemot, J, Walker, KJ, Walton, P, Webb, TJ, Williams, J, Wilson, R, Gregory, RD, 2023. State of Nature 2023, the State of Nature partnership, Available at: <u>www.stateofnature.org.uk.</u>

#### Acknowledgements

The monitoring and research that underpins this report, and our wider knowledge of the state of nature in the UK, its four component countries and its Crown Dependencies and Overseas Territories, is conducted by a wide variety of organisations and thousands of individuals. We do not have space here to recognise all their contributions individually but offer our collective thanks to them all.

#### Valuing volunteers

We wish to thank the thousands of dedicated volunteers who collect much of the data upon which our knowledge of the state of nature is based. Many are supporters of the organisations within the State of Nature partnership and contribute to systematic monitoring and recording schemes. Without their efforts, our knowledge of the health of the UK's nature would be just a fraction of what it is.

Additionally, we would like to thank all of the volunteers who are involved in the many conservation projects underway around the UK to address the issues facing our wildlife. Without them, the challenge would be much greater.

#### **Collecting biodiversity data**

#### Structured monitoring schemes

A number of organisations play a key role in running structured monitoring schemes for wildlife in the UK, providing the trends in abundance that underpin key State of Nature metrics. With some examples of the schemes run, these include:

Bat Conservation Trust (National Bat Monitoring Programme), British Trust for Ornithology/RSPB/ JNCC (Breeding Bird Survey, Wetland Bird Survey), Butterfly Conservation/Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (UK Butterfly Monitoring Programme), People's Trust for Endangered Species (National Dormouse Monitoring Programme), Rare Breeding Birds Panel and Rothamsted Research (Rothamsted Insect Survey). Marine data were provided largely by the Marine Biological Association, JNCC, Marine Conservation Society and the Sea Mammal Research Unit.

#### **Recording societies**

Data were provided by the Biological Records Centre from the following recording schemes and societies:

Aquatic Heteroptera Recording Scheme; Bees, Wasps and Ants Recording Society; Botanical Society of Britain and Ireland; British Arachnological Society -Spider Recording Scheme; British Bryological Society; British Dragonfly Society – Dragonfly Recording Network; British Lichen Society; British Myriapod and Isopod Group - Centipede and Millipede Recording Schemes; Chrysomelidae Recording Scheme; Conchological Society of Great Britain and Ireland; Cranefly Recording Scheme; Empididae, Hybotidae & Dolichopodidae Recording Scheme; Fungus Gnat Recording Scheme; Gelechiid Recording Scheme; Grasshopper Recording Scheme; Ground Beetle Recording Scheme; Hoverfly Recording Scheme; Lacewings and Allies Recording Scheme; National Moth Recording Scheme; Riverfly Recording Schemes: Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera; Soldier Beetles, Jewel Beetles and Glow-worms Recording Scheme; Soldierflies and Allies Recording Scheme; Staphylinidae Recording Scheme; Terrestrial Heteroptera Recording Schemes; UK Ladybird Survey; Weevil and Bark Beetle Recording Scheme and by the Mammal Society.

Many other State of Nature partners contribute biological records to these schemes, and support the evidence base that underpins this report in a myriad of ways. National governments and nongovernmental bodies support the monitoring of wildlife within the UK Overseas Territories.

#### Supporting report production

In addition to the authors of this report, conservationists from the State of Nature partners and other organisations have provided data, analyses, case studies and guidance, and have given their time to review drafts during the production of the State of Nature 2023 report. In particular, we wish to thank:

Alex Phillips, Alex Scorey, Alex Try, Ali Hood, Ali Morse, Ali Plummer, Alice Hardiman, Alison Debney, Alison Kuznets, Alistair Whyte, Andrew Callender, Andy Lester, Anna Moscrop, Anne-Marie McDevitt, Antje Steinfurth, Anton Kuech, Barnaby Coupe, Ben Darvill, Ben Dempsey, Ben James, Ben McCarthy, Bruce Wilson, Bryony Townhill, Calum Duncan, Carl Bunnage, Caroline Mead, Caroline Offord, Charlie Butt, Charlotte Coombes, Chris Ellis, Chris Lynam, Christine Edwards, Christine Reid, Claire Dinham, Clare Ostle, Clarus Chu, Colin Charman, Craig Macadam, Daisy Durden, Damian McFerran, Danae Orellana, Daniel Barrios-O'Neill, Daniel Hayhow, Daniel Whitelegg, David Johns, David Reed, Deborah Long, Eleanor Colver, Elliott Newton, Emily Williams, Emma Durham, Emma Lowe, Fiona Dobson, Fiona Sanderson, Frances Winder, Fred Rumsey, Gareth Thomas, Gary Powney, Glyn Young, Gordon Rothero, Graham Walley, Hannah Ryan-Leah, Harriet Downey, Hazel Forrest, Heather Lewis, Helen Mclachlan, Helen Smith, Hendrikus van Hensbergen, Hugh Wright, Ian Johnstone, Ian Mitchell, Isobel Mercer, Jack Renwick, Janet Simkin, Janice Ansine, Jason Reeves, Jen Smart, Jenny Hawley, Jenny Oates, Jeremy Wilson, Jo Richards, Jo Riggall, Joe Taylor, John Jackson, John Wilkinson, Jonathan Hall, Julia Henney, Kate Jennings, Katherine Boughey, Katherine Griffith, Katherine Hawkins, Kenny Bodles, Kirsten Carter, Kirsten Ramsay, Kirsty Meadows, Kit MacLeod, Laura Bambini, Laurence Jarvis, Leah Farquharson, Leigh Morris, Liam Matear, Linda Wilson, Lindsay Pyne, Lisa Chilton, Liz Carney, Lizzie Wilberforce, Lorna Holloway, Lucy Babey, Lucy Rogers, Lucy Young, Lyndon John, Maggie Hatton-Ellis, Malcolm Ausden, Mandy Henshall, Marc Woodhall, Mark Eaton, Mark Gurney, Mark Jacob, Mark Woodall, Mark Wright, Martin Willing, Mary Gagen, Matt Harding, Matt Heydon, Matt Larsen-Daw, Matthew Lewis, Matthew Murphy, Meg Griffiths, Megan Towers, Mel Broadhurst-Allen, Michael Dodd, Mike Shurmer, Murray Thompson, Naomi Ewald, Natasha Lough,

Neil Morris, Neil Sanderson, Niamh Roche, Nick Birula, Nicola Hodgins, Nicola Rimmington, Nigel Bourn, Niki Clear, Nina Cornish, Nina Schonberg, Patrick Lindley, Paul Armstrong, Pete Chaniotis, Peter McEvoy, Philip Whelpdale, Poppy Cooney, Rachel Sharp, Rachel Taylor, Randolph Velterop, Richard Bradbury, Richard Comont, Richard Hearn, Richard Selman, Rob Field, Rob Hughes, Rob Ward, Robin Pakeman, Roland Gauvain, Rona Sinclair, Roy Tapping, Russell Galt, Ruth Taylor, Sam Bosanquet, Sandy Coppin, Sara McGuckin, Sarah Bird, Sarah Havery, Sarah Young, Shayla Ellick, Sian Thomas, Simon Duffield, Simon Walmsley, Sinead Lynch, Sophie Rosas, Steve Whitbread, Teresa Osborne, Tim Birch, Tom Brereton, Tom Evans, Tom Finch, Tom Stringell, Tristan Hatton-Ellis, Una Fitzpatrick, Vanessa Amaral-Rogers, Will George and Yvette Martin as well as all the photographers for the use of their images. We would also like to thank colleagues at The Point for producing the report.

#### **Providing funding**

In addition to data, time, effort and expertise from individuals and organisations across the partnership, JNCC, the People's Trust for Endangered Species, National Museums Northern Ireland, National Trust, RSPB, Scottish Environment Link, the Wildlife Trusts and WWF funded production of this report.

We are grateful to the many charitable trusts, grant-giving bodies, companies and private individuals that provide vital funding for the monitoring of wildlife in the UK. Additionally, government agencies conduct or support much of the recording, data collation, analysis and reporting of the state of the UK's wildlife that has made this report possible. In particular, the Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Natural England, Natural Resources Wales, the Department for Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs Northern Ireland and NatureScot make significant contributions to the provision of monitoring across the separate parts of the UK. Other UK, national and local government bodies also do much to support the recording of wildlife and habitats, as do a wide variety of non-governmental organisations not represented within the State of Nature partnership.

## The State of Nature 2023 report is a collaboration between the conservation and research organisations listed below:

## 2023 Onature



www.stateofnature.org.uk